

Peter Frei
101 Maybrook Road
HOLLAND, MA 01521
Phone: 413 245 4660
Email: peterfrei@cox.net

August 23, 2019

Officer Jeffrey Forcier
Sturbridge Police Department
346 Main St.
STURBRIDGE, MA 01566

Dear Mr. Forcier,

First of all, I'm sorry to bother you again...

After the trial in the matter Johnson v Frei, former member of the Board of Selectmen, Michael Kennedy, confided in me that the town secretly paid for Johnson's attorney, Tani Sapirstein.

The State Ethics Commission, after a two year investigation, issued a Public Education Letter, confirming that Johnson and involved member of the Board of Selectmen, violated the conflict of interest law. In case you have an interest to read said letter, I'm attaching a copy.

Johnson chose to appeal the District Court's verdict; first to the Appellate Division of the District Court, and then again to the Appeals Court of the Commonwealth. I cross-appealed both pro se. I was not happy with my attorney, Henry Rigali. He never got the main issue right... this the reason why I fired him and pursued the appeals forced on me by Johnson myself; Rigali told me I'm wasting my time cross-appealing.

As mentioned, the main issue, the issue whether Johnson was an aggrieved person and was entitled to statutory damages¹/, none of the eight involved judges got right; however, the Appeals Court found error by the District Court Judge Patricia Poehler's grant of Johnson's motion for a directed verdict Johnson filed during trial. These motions for a directed verdict are somehow questionable. Why would you go through the hassle of a jury trial just to have the judge decide?

Anyway, the Appeals Court granted my appeal on the question whether the judge erred granting Johnson's motion for a directed verdict on my counterclaim for abuse of process. See attached copy of the Appeals Court's MEMORANDUM AND ORDER PURSUANT TO RULE 1:28, page 2.

The trial on September 17, 2019, will just be about my counterclaim for "abuse of process."

If the jury decides that Johnson did abuse the legal process, Johnson will have to pay me back the \$63,500.00 I paid to Henry Rigali. Johnson will also have to pay the cost of the two appeals he forced on me.

I'm attaching copies of your testimony and a copy of the police report relevant to your testimony and hope you have the time to read it before September 17, to refresh your memory.

¹ The wiretap statute declares any recording a crime as you know. However, committing a crime does not necessary give Johnson a reason to sue me in civil court, unless he can claim that I violated one of his personal, or property interests, or his privacy M.G.L. c.272, s.99(B)(6). None of these I did; the recording took place in public on Hamilton Reservoir where Johnson had no expectation of privacy. Johnson has not a personal right not to be surreptitiously recorded. Surreptitiously recording another in Massachusetts is a public wrong. His attorney lied to the courts, made up her own case-law and got away with it... she fooled a total of eight judges and my own attorney (incompetence is the worst form of corruption.)

As you know, trials sometimes get delayed by a day or more. To spare you a trip to Springfield just to find out the trial is delayed, you may call this number of the District Court and ask for Ellissa and she will tell you whether the trial will take place as scheduled, the number to call is (413) 748 8669.

Thank you very much for your cooperation, I truly appreciate that you do that and also the fact that your integrity is beyond reproach.

Best regards,

Peter Frei